



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

10
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,190	01/22/2002	Bernadette M. Gibbs	53394.000564	9683
7590	04/28/2005		EXAMINER	
Christopher C. Campbell, Esq. Hunton & Williams Suite 1200 1900 K Street Washington, DC 20006-1109			REICHLE, KARIN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
DATE MAILED: 04/28/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/051,190	GIBBS, BERNADETTE M.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Karin M. Reichle	3761

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 April 2004 and 22 February 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,5,7 and 9-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,3,4,6,8 and 23 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 January 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/23/02, 6/17/02</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of the species of Figures 1-4 and 6, one dead zone and the first and second stretch resistances being different in the reply filed on 4-22-04 and 2-22-05 is acknowledged.

2. Claims 2, 5, 7 and 9-22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 4-22-05 and 2-22-05.

Claim 22 was also withdrawn because the Figures of 1-4 and 6 do not show the claimed structure of the last two lines of this claim.

3. The election of species set forth on 2-25-04 is deemed proper and made FINAL.

Specification

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to because Figure 1 is inconsistent with the description thereof on page 5, second to last line, i.e. the Figure is shown partially in section but is not described as such. In Figure 1, the line from 2a should not be dashed. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as

“amended.” If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either “Replacement Sheet” or “New Sheet” pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Language Interpretation

5. The claim language is interpreted in light of the definitions set forth on page 6, line 11-page 7, line 22, page 8, lines 2-4, 8-10, and 21-24, page 9, lines 19-23, page 16, line 22-page 17, line 1, and page 19, lines 7-12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1, 3-4, 6, 8 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson GB ‘067.

Claims 1 and 23: See Figures 5, 9-14, page 6, line 16-page 7, line 27, page 19, lines 17 et seq, page 20, line 28-page 21, line 8, page 23, line 20-page 25, line 5, page 25, line 23-page 27, line 27, page 31, line 23-page 32, line 20 and page 36, lines 1-18, i.e. the garment is 20, the waist regions are 40 and 38, the chassis is at least 22, the core is 26, the tab is 90 and 44, the dead zone is 98, the first elastic zone is between 40 and 98 and the second elastic region is on the opposite side of 98, the gripping zone is at least a portion of 44 (it is noted the claim language “attached” includes both direct and indirect attachment). The stretch resistance of the first zone is at least as great as that of the second zone since they are formed of the same elastic material. The dead zone, i.e. the stress beam section 98, is explicitly disclosed as being formed of nonelastic material or densified or embossed portions of the side panel, i.e. stiffer than the portions forming the elastic zones. Therefore it is the Examiner’s first position that the Johnson reference explicitly teaches a “dead zone” having more stretch resistance than the elastic zones. In any case, the Examiner’s second position, the factual evidence of the composition of the stress beam sections is sufficient for one to conclude that the stress beam section of Johnson inherently has more stretch resistance than the elastic zones, see MPEP 2112.01.

Claims 3-4, 6, and 8: See the Figures specified supra.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Van Gompel '402 reference also teaches a fastener tab with the claimed regions.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karin M. Reichle whose telephone number is (571) 272-4936. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Schwartz can be reached on (571) 272-4390. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KM.Reichle
Karin M. Reichle
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3761

KMR
April 19, 2005